

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,793	12/29/2003	James N. Tuozzo	JNT/01	6442	
7:	590 06/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JAMES N. TUOZZO			HENDERSON, MARK T		
269 PARK AVE LYNDHURST, NJ 07071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/747,793	TUOZZO, JAMES N.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark T. Henderson	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18			ļ				
,	is action is non-final.						
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	' Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
, <u> </u>	ror election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			í				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	•		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignable. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. application from the International Bure 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Api iority documents have been	oplication No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.					
Attachment/c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Neterences cited (170-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing or responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers, which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. Claim 6 recites the limitation "downward" in line 7. It is not understood what direction is "downward", since applicant has not established the "up" and "down" direction.

Art Unit: 3722

2. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the open bottom" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-7, 11, 12, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillmer-Mann (5,364,199).

Hillmer-Mann discloses in Fig. 4, 9 and 10, a paper fastening arrangement for use with a fastener having a base (38) including two ends each having a leg (36a and 36b) extended therefrom, wherein the legs (36) are foldable relative to the base; a sheath (12, 14 and 16) comprising: an extension (12) shaped to slideably engage one of the legs (as seen in Fig. 3); the extension including an opening (20) that defines a cavity (82) to receive the leg; wherein the extension includes a solid portion which partially covers the leg over the opening (as seen in Fig. 10, when half (14) is folded over (12)) and is operative to engage (however, not directly) through sheet material (through the use of a second fastener (52 and 56, as shown in Fig. 3); at least one

foldable guide tab (14) depending from the extension; a retainer or retaining means (88) to slideably engage with the sheath for securing the sheath and leg; and wherein the sheath can alos consist of more than one guide tab (70a and 70b as shown in Fig. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillmer-Mann.

Hillmer-Mann discloses a paper fastener arrangement comprising all the elements as claimed in Claim 1, and as set forth above. However, Hillman-Mann does not disclose wherein the sheath, the extension and guide are integrally formed.

In regards to Claim 20, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the fastening arrangement in one piece, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, to construct the fastening arrangement in one piece or many pieces, since applicant has not disclosed the criticality of constructing in either a one unit piece

Art Unit: 3722

or many separable pieces placed together, and invention would function equally as well if made with any desirable number of pieces.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 3, 8-10 and 13-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Callander, Mathias, Waegemann, Innacelli, and Lynch disclose similar paper fastening arrangements.

Art Unit: 3722

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4477, and informal fax number is (571) 273-4477. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00AM to 3:45PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner Supervisor, Monica Carter, can be reached at (571) 272-4475. The <u>formal</u> fax number for TC 3700 is (571) 273-8300.

MTH

May 25, 2006

MONICA CARTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER